SHORT TERM AND LONG-TERM RESULTS OF RADIOFREQUENCY CATHETER ABLATION FOR ATRIOVENTRICULAR NODAL REENTRANT TACHYCARDIA AT TAM DUC HEART HOSPITAL

Nguyen Ngo Thanh Phuong, MD On behalf of EP team of Tam Duc Heart hospital

BACKGROUND

- AVNRT is the most common form of paroxysmal regular supraventricular tachycardia in adults.
- AVNRT related to the presence of dual anterograde atrioventricular (AV) node pathways with disparate electrophysiological (EP) properties
- Symptom onset occurs between 30-50 years
- Elderly patients (>60 years) represent only 12–22% of those with AVNRT

BACKGROUND

- Radiofrequency catheter ablation (RFCA) is an effective therapeutic strategy in treating patient who has paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia, especially AVNRT
- The safety, efficacy, and long term results have not been reported in a large series of consecutive patient with AVNRT in Vietnam.

OBJECTIVES

- Access the acute success and long-term results of RF catheter ablation for AVNRT
- Compare the safety and efficacy of slow-pathway
 RF CA between young patients and elderly patients

Method

- Between July 2008 and February 2017
- A total of 394 consecutive patients AVNRT have been enrolled
- Patients were divided into 2 groups: group I included 300 patients aged <60 years and group II included 94 patients aged >= 60 years

Inclusion criteria

- All patients had symptomatic AVNRT episodes documented by 12-lead surface electrocardiography (ECG) before the ablation procedure
- Refractory to at least one AAD
- EP study confirming AVNRT diagnosis.

Exclusion critera

- Arteriosclerosis obliterance
- Acute coronary syndrome
- Heart failure (not induce by arrhythmias)
- Other critical illness
- Severe coagulopathy
- Infection
- The patient does not agree to the procedure

EP study and mapping

- After obtaining informed consent from patients, EPS was performed for all patients in the fasting and non-sedated state.
- Before the study, all AADs except amiodarone were discontinued for at least 5 half-lives.

EP study and mapping

- GE electrophysiology recording system
- Approach via the right femoral vein
- Catheters positioned in the high right atrium, the right ventricular apex, and the His bundle region, and the coronary sinus
- EP study was performed by using standard techniques
- Mechanism of the tachycardia was determined by pacing maneuver.

AVNRT diagnosis criteria

- Anterograde and rarely retrograde dual AV node pathways with or without echo beats
- Induction of tachycardia dependent on a critical delay in the atrial-His (A-H) interval (jump)
- AH jump was defined as the difference between any consecutive AH intervals equal to, or more than, 50ms during programmed or incremental atrial pacing
- Exclusion of an accessory pathway or atrial tachycardia using conventional pacing and mapping techniques

EP study and mapping

- RF pulses of 60–90s were applied at optimal sites, with a maximum temperature of 60°C and maximum power of 50W
- Power, impedance and temperature were measured and stored during each application of RF energy

EP study and mapping

- Acute success: defined as junctional ectopic beats during ablation, noninducibility of AVNRT with or without infusion of atropine or Isopretenol, with a maximum of 1 AV nodal echobeat, regardless of the persistence of dual AV nodal physiology during programmed atrial stimulation
- All patients were monitored for 3–6h, and 12-lead ECG was taken from all patients immediately after the ablation procedure, before discharge, and 1 month after discharge.

Clinical Follow-up

- Followed-up 1 month after RFCA and every 3 months thereafter.
- 12-lead ECGs at each visit
- Patients not coming for follow- up were contacted over telephone.
- Recurrences: defined as recurrence of AVNRT (ECG or EP study)

Statistical Methods

- Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD or percentage.
- Continuous values were compared by Student T test/ Mann-Whitney U test.
- A chi-square test with Yates' correction or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical data.
- P < 0.05 was considered significant.
- SPSS 20.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) was used

RESULTS (N=394)

Baseline characteristics of patients with AVNRT

• Age (year) $48.78 \pm 15.09 (13-84)$

• **Gender** (male; %) 99 (25.1%)

• **Hypertension** 103 (26.1%)

• Diabetes mellitus 26 (6.6%)

• Coronary artery disease 6 (1.5%)

• Cardiomyopathy 1 (0.3%)

• Frequency of symptoms (epi/m) 1.4 (0.3-2)

• Duration of symptoms (month) 55.68 ± 54.62

RESULTS (N=394)

Co-exist tachycardia

• Co-AF	3(0.8%)
---------	---------

AADs used before RFCA

• β-blocker	188 (47.7%)
-------------	-------------

Baseline Electrophysiologic Characteristics

• BCL (ms)	784 ± 143
------------	---------------

• AERP (ms)
$$231 \pm 37$$

• AV node WCL (ms)
$$355 \pm 66$$

• Fast ERP (ms)
$$316 \pm 51$$

• Slow ERP (ms)
$$271 \pm 38$$

• AH interval (ms)
$$71 \pm 15$$

• HV interval (ms)
$$42 \pm 8$$

• TCL (ms)
$$360 \pm 58$$

• SVT rate (b/m)
$$172 \pm 28$$

Electrophysiologic Characteristics After Successful Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation

•	Acute succes (%)	390/394	(99%)
---	------------------	---------	-------

• AV node WCL (ms)
$$342 \pm 53$$

• AERP (ms)
$$248 \pm 54$$

• AV ERP (ms)
$$292 \pm 59$$

• AH interval (ms)
$$71 \pm 24$$

• HV interval (ms)
$$42 \pm 7$$

Comparison of Electrophysiologic Characteristics between before and After Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation

	Before	After	p value
•AERP (ms)	228 ± 38	241 ± 44	0.958
•AV node WCL (ms)	347 ± 76	343 ± 59	0.08
•AV ERP (ms)	268 ± 39	297 ± 62	0.228
•AH interval (ms)	70 ± 16	72 ± 24	0.052
•HV interval (ms)	41±7	42 ± 7	0.213

Ablation Procedure-Related Data

Total procedure duration (min)	58 ± 34
Total fluoroscopy time (min)	19 ± 11
Number of radiofrequency impulses	6 ± 5.5
Ablation time (min)	2.2 ± 2.18

RESULTS (N=394)

Outcome

 Acute success 	390 (99%)
-----------------------------------	-----------

- Complication 15 (3.8%)
- Follow-up duration (month) 29.49 ± 24.5
- Recurrent 8 (2%)

Complication

• Prolong PR	7 (1.9%)
 Complete heart block 	3 (0.76%)
(Need for PM implantation)	
• Fistula	1 (0.3%)
Hematoma	1 (0.3%)
Tamponade	1 (0.3%)
 Pneumothorax 	2 (0.5%)

Comparison of Basic Clinical Characteristics Between the 2 Groups

	Group 1 <60y	Group ≥60y	p value
	(n=300)	(n=94)	
 Hypertension 	51 (17%)	52 (55.3%)	0.000
• Diabetes mellitus	10 (3.3%)	16 (17.02%)	0.000
 Coronary artery disease 	1 (0.3%)	5 (5.3%)	0.001
 Cardiomyopathy 	1 (0.3%)	0	0.374
• Co-AF	2 (0.6%)	1 (1.06%)	0.699
• Co-Afl	1 (0.3%)	2 (2.12%)	0.081
• Co-AT	3 (0.9%)	1 (1.06%)	0.957
• Co-AVRT	4 (0.12%)	1 (1.06%)	0.839

Comparison of Baseline Electrophysiologic Characteristics of the 2 Groups

G	roup 1 <60y	Group 2≥60y	P value
	(N=300)	(N=94)	
• BCL (ms)	784 ± 145	786 ± 136	0.738
• AERP (ms)	236 ± 42	218± 24	0.018
• WCL (ms)	351 ±69	371 ± 52	0.55
• Fast ERP (ms)	320 ± 47	301 ± 63	0.123
• Slow ERP (ms)	267 ± 34	295 ± 55	0.08
• AH interval (ms)	70 ± 15	74 ± 13	0.036
• HV interval (ms)	41 ± 7	43 ± 11	0.000
• TCL (ms)	354 ± 55	386 ± 65	0.354
• SVT rate (b/m)	174 ± 28	164 ± 27	0.610

Comparison of the Ablation Procedure-Related Data Between the 2 Groups

	Group 1 <60y	Group 2≥60y	P value
	(N=300)	(N=94)	
• Total procedure duration (min)	55.34 ± 33.1	67.7 ± 35.6	0.731
• Total fluoroscopy time (min)	18.31 ± 11.8	20.3 ± 8.3	0.394
Ablation number	6.1 ± 5.17	7.04 ± 5.4	0.738
• Ablation time (min)	2.49 ± 2.18	2.2 ± 1.2	0.392

Comparison of acute success and outcome between the 2 Groups

	Group 1 <60y	Group 2≥60y	P value
	(N=300)	(N=94)	
• Acute success	296 (98.7%)	94 (100%)	
 Prolong PR 	4 (1.3%)	1(1.06%)	0.947
• Complete heart block	2 (0.67%)	1 (1.06%)	0.3
• Fistula	0	1 (1.06%)	0.074
Hematoma	1 (0.33%)	0	0.173
 Tamponade 	0	1 (1.06%)	0.074
 Pneumothorax 	1 (0.33%)	1 (1.06%)	0.138
• Recurrent	8 (2.67%)	0	0.11

Discussion

	Yusuf I. Alihanoglu et al	Udyavar AR et al	Our study
Acute success	99.3%	99.6%	99%
Complications	0.77%	2.6%	3.8%
Recurrence	-	0.3%	2%

CONCLUSIONS

- RF ablation is very effective to treat AVNRT.
- RF catheter ablation, could be considered as firstline therapy for AVNRT patients older than 60 years as well as younger patients
- There was no significant difference between the 2 groups in respect of the complications rates and recurrence rates.

Thank you for your attention